EN

the Defender®
CHILDREN'S HEALTH DEFENSE NEWS & VIEWS

$2M MATCH: Donate by April 30!

04/17/23 • BIG FOOD › VIEWS

Big Ag Panicking Over Bill to Require Labeling of Gene-Altering Products

Missouri House Bill 1169 would require labeling of products that can alter your genes. Big Ag lobbyists strongly oppose it, because it would mean labeling livestock injected with mRNA vaccines.

By **Dr. Joseph Mercola** 18

Miss a day, miss a lot. *Subscribe to The Defender's Top News of the Day.* ***It's free.***

Story at a glance:

- Pork producers have been using customizable mRNA-based "vaccines" on their herds since 2018, without telling the public.
- All customized mRNA "vaccines" are untested. Only the mRNA platform itself has been approved.
- According to the National Cattlemen's Beef Association, "There are no current mRNA vaccines licensed for use in beef cattle in the United States." However, a lobbyist for the association claims to have "double-vaccinated" his own herd with an mRNA "vaccine" against bovine respiratory disease.
- Iowa State University began trialing an mRNA "vaccine" against bovine respiratory syncytial virus on Oct. 1, 2021.
- Missouri House Bill 1169 would require labeling of products that can alter your genes. Big Ag lobbyists strongly oppose it.

Last week, I reported that pork producers have been using customizable mRNA-based "vaccines" on their herds since 2018 — without telling the public.

Thomas Renz: "The biggest risk they're facing is their products are all mRNA G…
REAL AMERICA'S VOICE
THOMAS RENZ
Attorney
WAR ROOM

This issue really only rose to the surface after attorney Thomas Renz started promoting new legislation in Missouri (House Bill 1169, which he helped write) that would require labeling of mRNA products.

In an April 1, tweet (and no, this was not an April Fool's joke), Renz stated:

> "BREAKING NEWS: the lobbyists for the cattleman and pork associations in several states have CONFIRMED they WILL be using mRNA vaccines in pigs and cows THIS MONTH. WE MUST SUPPORT MISSOURI HB1169. It is LITERALLY the ONLY chance we have to prevent this …
>
> "NO ONE knows the impacts of doing this but we are all potentially facing the risk of being a #DiedSuddenly if we don't stop this."

Thomas Renz : "I don't know how else to describe these people they're psycho…
REAL AMERICA'S VOICE
FOLLOW US ON SOCIAL MEDIA
Gettr: @RealAmVoice | @WarRoom
WAR ROOM

The transhumanist agenda and its focus on food

Within days, alternative media was abuzz with this story and Renz started making the rounds sharing evidence that shows the U.S. government has been working on the integration of vaccines into foods for at least two decades.

In an April 2 interview with Naomi Wolf, Ph.D., Renz said:

> "[Bill] Gates, the WHO [World Health Organization], a ton of these universities: they're all talking about including mRNA vaccinations as part of the food. They're going to modify the genes of these foods to make them mRNA vaccines."

Industry doesn't want you to know what they're doing

The pushback by Big Ag lobbyists against this bill to require industry transparency on this important issue has been enormous, and one potential reason for that is because they'd have to admit that all sorts of foods may have been vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, have genetic modifications, or be modified to serve as vaccinations for humans.

Not only might this destroy Big Ag, but it would also seriously impact any surreptitious attempts by Big Pharma to use the food supply as a tool to distribute vaccines unbeknownst to consumers.

In short, Renz suspects the globalists' transhumanist agenda is being secretly pushed forward using gene therapy in food production.

I can't help but wonder whether the industry simply doesn't understand how mRNA gene therapy in livestock might affect consumers, or whether they want to conceal the fact that they're using gene therapy because they DO know it can also affect humans.

Globalists like World Economic Forum founder Klaus Schwab have openly admitted that they intend to alter humanity — both on the genetic level and through the incorporation of artificial intelligence and nanotechnology into the human body.

And, using COVID-19 as the cover, they managed to turn the entire world population into test subjects for this dangerous experimentation.

As noted by Renz in the Real America's Voice interview above, we know for a fact that the mRNA COVID-19 shots have no beneficial impact in terms of preventing COVID-19 infection.

mRNA 'vaccines' in livestock are untested

If the mRNA COVID-19 shots don't prevent infection, why would we assume mRNA shots for viral and bacterial infections in swine work any better?

One of the most disturbing aspects of using mRNA "vaccines" in swine is the fact that all of them are by definition untested. As explained by Merck on its website, its "custom swine vaccine," Sequivity, is not a vaccine but, rather, a platform that allows for the endless customization of "mRNA vaccines."

The customized mRNA shots that are created using it are completely untested. Here's how it works:

1. A pathogen is collected and sent to a diagnostic lab.
2. The gene of interest is sequenced and sent electronically to Sequivity analysts.
3. A synthetic version of the gene of interest is synthesized and inserted into the RNA production platform.
4. The RNA particles released from incubated production cells are harvested and formulated into a customized "vaccine."

As noted by Zoetis, the largest producer of veterinary drugs and vaccines:

> "Sequivity has safety and efficacy studies based on the platform with a historical initial isolate, not likely the isolate that customers would be requesting in their product."

What was that initial isolate? Will mRNA against a bacterial disease affect the animals in the same way that mRNA against a viral infection does?

What "genes of interest" are being chosen? If spike proteins are selected, might they be as pathogenic as the SARS-CoV-2 spike protein?

In CAFO environments with high populations of animals, won't mutations become rampant as the bacteria and viruses are subjected to constant "customized" vaccination pressure to adapt and become more dangerous? These are just some of the questions that need answers.

At the end of the day, the fact that mRNA shots can be endlessly customized without safety testing shows just how broken the U.S. Department of Agriculture and the U.S. Food and Drug Administration are.

There's simply no way they can guarantee that customized mRNA shots are safe. The fact that the platform itself works and allows for this customization does NOT prove the safety and effectiveness of the shots being cooked up.

Approval of the platform also does not prove the food is safe for consumption after it's been treated with mRNA.

Cows milk used to immunize mice

As reported by Dr. Peter McCullough, Chinese researchers have demonstrated that food can indeed be turned into a vaccine.

> "The nation's food supply can be manipulated by public health agencies to influence population outcomes … Now an oral route of administration is being considered specifically for COVID-19 vaccination using mRNA in cow's milk.
>
> "Zhang and colleagues have demonstrated that a shortened mRNA code of 675 base pairs could be loaded into phospholipid packets called exosomes derived from milk and then using that same milk, be fed to mice.
>
> "The mice gastrointestinal tract absorbed the exosomes and the mRNA must have made it into the bloodstream and lymphatic tissue because antibodies were produced in fed mice against SARS-CoV-2 Spike protein (receptor binding domain) …
>
> "Given the damage mRNA vaccines have generated in terms of injuries, disabilities, and deaths, these data raise considerable ethical issues. The COVID States project has shown that 25% of Americans were successful in remaining unvaccinated.
>
> "This group would have strong objections to mRNA in the food supply, particularly if it was done surreptitiously or with minimal labeling/warnings …
>
> "For those who have taken one of the COVID-19 vaccines, having milk vaccines as an EUA offering would allow even more loading of the body with synthetic mRNA which has been proven resistant to ribonucleases and may reside permanently in the human body.
>
> "These observations lead me to conclude that mRNA technology has just entered a whole new, much darker phase of development. Expect more research on and resistance to mRNA in our food supply. The Chinese have just taken the first of what will probably be many more dangerous steps for the world."

Will beef be treated with mRNA too?

At present, there's no evidence to suggest beef cattle are being treated with customizable mRNA "vaccines," either in Europe or the U.S. The National Cattlemen's Beef Association has also denied it, saying "there are no current mRNA vaccines licensed for use in beef cattle in the United States."

That said, the Cattlemen's Association previously confirmed they do, eventually, intend to use mRNA shots in cattle, which might affect both dairy and beef.

Time will tell whether public outrage will halt such plans. Clearly, the Cattlemen's Association is concerned about internet rumors that it's already in use.

On April 3, Texas Department of Agriculture commissioner Sid Miller issued a statement promising to conduct a risk assessment of the technology before its adoption:

> "Since news of the development of mRNA vaccines and mRNA-related treatments for livestock came to the attention of the Texas Department of Agriculture, we have been working towards developing a fact and science-based assessment of the risks associated with this technology.
>
> "Our analysis will include the clinical research, the structure of existing Texas law, and the public policy, economic, and production impact of the different policy prescriptions we may adopt. I aim to ensure that Texas agriculture remains safe, trusted, healthy, and wholly uninfected by dangerous or unproven technology.
>
> "I personally take this issue very seriously. No political hot takes. Just a well-reasoned and well-researched proposal based on a wide range of input from stakeholders, scientists, agriculturalists, and other experts. We are looking at this issue at TDA and will share your concerns. Please stay tuned."

Confusion caused by cattlemen's association lobbyist



Tom Renz @RenzTom · Follow

Here is where the National Cattlemen's Association says no there is no #mRNA in our cows: ncba.org/ncba-news/news.... Below are clips (edited for time) from the testimony of the lobbyist from the Missouri Cattlemen's Association before the #Missouri House. Hmmm - seems they aren't...

6:18 AM · Apr 10, 2023

Read the full conversation on Twitter

9.2K Reply Share

Read 447 replies

What's causing significant confusion on this issue is a statement made by National Cattlemen's Beef Association lobbyist Shannon Cooper before the Missouri House.

Cooper told the House members he had recently "double-vaccinated" his herd with "vaccinations that have this mRNA." According to Cooper, the mRNA "vaccine" given was for bovine respiratory disease.

Is he confused? Did he mistakenly believe the vaccine he gave had mRNA in it? Or is the National Cattlemen's Beef Association incorrect in stating there are no approved mRNA vaccines for cattle in the U.S.? Or, are experimental mRNA shots being used without approval? Who knows at this point?

What we do know is that mRNA "vaccines" against bovine respiratory disease are being developed. Iowa State University began trialing an mRNA "vaccine" against bovine respiratory syncytial virus on Oct. 1, 2021.

The project end date is listed as Sept. 30, 2026. According to the trial submission, mice would be used to establish proof of concept. Cows would be used in year two of the trial. Assuming they're on schedule, that means cows will be experimented on somewhere in late 2023 and/or 2024.

Is mRNA-jabbed livestock safe to eat?

Considering health authorities insist the COVID-19 shots are safe, it's no wonder they also insist there are no problems associated with eating mRNA-treated meat. But can we trust them?

What about the cells now highjacked by the foreign mRNA instruction to create novel proteins? Are these proteins safe to consume? How long are the nano-lipid particles preserved in the tissue?

Livestock such as swine are routinely vaccinated against several diseases, and many of these vaccines must be administered at specific times to ensure there's no residue left in the meat.

So, just when are swine receiving these customized mRNA shots? And could there be mRNA vaccine remnants in the pork you buy?

Vaccines are nearly always given in the hindquarter of the animal, and according to mRNA jab developers, the mRNA remains at the injection site.

This theory has long since been proven false, as the mRNA in the COVID-19 jab gets has been shown to be distributed throughout the human body.

But it makes sense that the mRNA might be more concentrated at the injection site. In livestock, this could be bad news, seeing how the hindquarters are usually where the prime cuts of meat come from.

So, knowing whether there's any mRNA left in the animal at the time of slaughter is important. At present, we have no way of knowing this. We don't even know exactly how long the synthetic lipid-enveloped mRNA stays in the body.

We also don't know how long the antigen produced by the animal's cells in response to a customized mRNA shot sticks around, and whether ingesting that antigen might have repercussions for human health.

Stanford researchers found the spike protein produced in response to the COVID-19 shot remains in the human body for at least 60 days, and the spike protein is what's causing most of the health problems associated with the jab.

Could the same be true for mRNA jabs used in animals?

Hogs can be killed anywhere from the age of 6 weeks to 10 months, which doesn't allow a whole lot of time for the mRNA and/or antigen to get flushed out.

Notorious industry mouthpiece defends livestock mRNA jabs

Aside from the many open questions, the fact that notorious Big Pharma mouthpieces are the ones cited by media, ensuring us that mRNA jabbed animals are safe to eat is yet another red flag. In this case, we have Dr. Kevin Folta insisting the mRNA is harmless.

Folta, a University of Florida horticulture professor, is a longtime advocate for genetically modified organisms. He has also advocated for the safety of glyphosate, and in 2015, he was caught lying about his financial ties to Monsanto. Now, he's taken up the advocacy for mRNA shots in livestock.

As reported by Cowboy State Daily:

> "Lawmakers in Arizona, Idaho, and Missouri have introduced legislation related to the use of mRNA vaccines in food. The Arizona bill only restricts labeling such food as organic. The Idaho bill amends state law to prohibit the sale of such foods unless conspicuously labeled that the presence of the vaccine is in the food.
>
> "The Missouri bill requires a conspicuous 'Gene Therapy Product' label. Dr. Kevin Folta told Cowboy State Daily the proposed 'gene therapy' label is inaccurate.
>
> "It 'means they have no idea what they are trying to regulate,' Folta said, because 'there is no integration into the DNA. It's a transient set of instructions, like a USB drive. Not a hard drive' … Messenger RNA occurs naturally as part of the function of cells in the body. 'mRNA is everywhere, and you can't live without mRNA,' Folta said …
>
> "Folta said that the vaccines can't get into the food people eat. 'mRNA is an extremely unstable molecule. That's why it works. It's very temporary. So when an animal is slaughtered or when a plant dies, mRNA is the first thing to go,' Folta said."

Many of you will know exactly what's wrong with Folta's arguments that mRNA is "everywhere" and therefore harmless and that its activity is temporary because it's so unstable. The mRNA in the shots is synthetic and does NOT break down the way normal mRNA does.

He is clearly misleading people, and it's hard to believe it's not intentional, considering the fact that everyone who knows even the slightest bit about mRNA jab technology knows the synthetic mRNA has been designed to prevent rapid breakdown and is further stabilized by the nano lipid. So, Folta's arguments are null and void from the get-go.

Final thoughts

Moving forward, it's going to be extremely important to stay on top of what's happening to our food supply. Many of us were surprised to realize mRNA shots have been used in swine for several years already.

Soon, cattle may get these customizable mRNA shots as well, which could affect both beef and dairy products.

For now, I strongly recommend avoiding pork products. In addition to the uncertainty surrounding these untested mRNA "vaccines," pork is also very high in linoleic acid, a harmful omega-6 fat that drives chronic disease.

Hopefully, cattle ranchers will realize the danger this mRNA platform poses to their bottom line and reject it. If they don't find beef and dairy that has not been "gene therapied" could become quite the challenge.



White Oak Pastures @whiteoakpasture · Follow

There is talk about domesticated food animals soon being vaccinated with #mRNA. We want our customers to know that we will not vaccinate our animals with #mRNAvaccines. We believe there is a time and place for vaccinations, but they must be used sparingly. If livestock are raised...

Watch on Twitter
Recently, we've gotten several questions about whether or not

2:03 PM · Apr 10, 2023

4.3K See the latest COVID-19 information on Twitter

Read 148 replies

Will Harris, from White Oak Pasture in Bluffton Georgia, is a rancher who has already come out against mRNA "vaccines" in cattle.

An April 10, White Oak Pastures tweet stated:

> "There is talk about domesticated food animals soon being vaccinated with mRNA. We want our customers to know that we will not vaccinate our animals with mRNA vaccines. We believe there is a time and place for vaccinations, but they must be used sparingly.
>
> "If livestock are raised in an environment where they can express their natural instincts, they probably won't need many (if any) vaccines. We hope to one day move away from all vaccines on our farm — we are close, but not there yet.
>
> "Everyone should know that over 80% of the antibiotics produced today are consumed by domesticated food animals … It would only make sense that in order for vaccine companies to move from 'very profitable' to 'obscenely profitable' would be to capture the animal agriculture market.
>
> "I'm not sure that this would ever pass legislation, but law or not, Big Ag is highly influenced by Big Pharma. The multinational meat companies would certainly choose to mandate this if there was an opportunity for a shared profit.
>
> "In closing, please know: We don't believe in a blanket, one-size-fits-all approach to our health or our livestock. We will not add vaccinations for our livestock — we are moving in the other direction hoping to give fewer. (And, we already give very few).
>
> "If this is adopted and there is a 'panic' for food from livestock that hasn't had the mRNA vaccine, we will choose to honor the demand from our loyalty members and employees first. If you are not a part of that group, we cannot guarantee we will have any product for you.
>
> "We are certainly not trying to promote panic — but, we do intend to notify our customers of how we will operate in times of growing demand. We screwed up during the pandemic — we won't do that again."

Originally published by Mercola.

The views and opinions expressed in this article are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of Children's Health Defense.

Subscribe to The Defender – It's Free!

First Name.. Last Name.. Email.. SIGN UP

SUGGEST A CORRECTION



Dr. Joseph Mercola
Dr. Joseph Mercola is the founder of Mercola.com.

Sign up for free news and updates from Robert F. Kennedy, Jr. and Children's Health Defense. CHD is planning many strategies, including legal, in an effort to defend the health of our children and obtain justice for those already injured. Your support is essential to CHD's successful mission.

Republishing Guidelines

Children's Health Defense Comment Policy
We welcome relevant and respectful comments. Off-topic comments may be removed.
Please read our Comment Policy before commenting.
Got it

18 Comments 1 Login ▼

Join the discussion…

LOG IN WITH OR SIGN UP WITH DISQUS ?

Name

♡ 3 • Share Best Newest Oldest

BChristine
a day ago edited
This is UNINFORMED CONSENT. #1- This should NOT even be happening. #2 - NOT Labeling or informing the public should be considered a criminal act. This is administering drugs to consumers - just like fluoride in our water systems; which by the way is ALSO criminal.
20 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown
a day ago
APR 16, 2023 Novel Vaccine Technologies in Veterinary Medicine: A Herald to Human Medicine Vaccines Explosion of Genetic Vaccines in Animals Gets Human Attention By PETER A. MCCULLOUGH, MD, MPH™

While these shots may protect animals from pathogens over the short term, what are the implications for our food supply? Any of the genetic material transmissible to humans through consumption? Raw or cooked? These and other questions are coming up as more information is being brought forward.

https://petermcculloughmd.s...

Donate Now
You make the difference.

Latest News


COVID Likely Emerged From Wuhan Lab — and Earlier Than Previously Believed, Senate Report Concludes

Soils in Natural Ecosystems Just as Contaminated as Urban Soils, Study Finds

U.S. Invests $1.5 Billion to Spur 5G Rollout Despite New Evidence of 'Devastating' Health Issues

MORE NEWS

Latest Views

Foods Containing These 5 Toxic Chemicals Could Be Banned Under New Law

Big Ag Panicking Over Bill to Require Labeling of Gene-Altering Products

Risky Weight-Loss Jabs + Declining Life Expectancy for Kids: 'This Week' With Mary + Polly

MORE VIEWS

Get FREE News & Updates!

First Name..
Last Name..
Email..
SIGN UP

Get Text Alerts
Sign up for breaking news from The Defender.
Currently only eligible for numbers in the U.S. and Canada.
1234567890
Phone
REQUIRED. Phone number must be in a standard 10-digit format: 1234567890 or 123-456-7890
CONTINUE

see more

👍 5 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Judie Maron-Friend
a day ago
It is unconscionable that these villains aren’t happy with injecting 2/3s of the worlds population they are now hiding the fact that they are doing the same to the animals we consume!! If these livestock producers can include, non-GMO, organic, no antibiotics, and free range on their labels they must be made to include the fact that these same animals are injected with a totally unproven genetic therapy drug! We have already learned that mRNA vaccines are not safe and effective for humans when injected as a human vaccine, why in the world would we be able to trust eating the flesh and organs of creatures that have been injected with the same technology?!?! This insanity must end before we create another layer of ill health never seen before!

👍 5 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

nick quinlan
a day ago
The out of control pharma mafia is intent on poisoning every living thing on the planet.
This is the act of a criminal industry, while the regulatory agencies that taxpayers fund, are completely captured by criminal entities.
It is not a stretch to label the govt and it's corporations it protects, as domestic terrorists.

👍 5 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Gary Brown
a day ago
Good! April 11, 2023 Covid and Free Speech in the High Court

On 5 April 2023 the High Court handed down judgment in Adil v General Medical Council [2023] EWHC 797 (Admin). The case examined the extent to which a professional regulator can interfere with the right to freedom of expression of an individual subject to its regulation, as well as the circumstances in which the Court should accept challenges to decisions made by regulators in the performance of their duties. It is the first case decided by the High Court concerning anti-vaccination statements made by a doctor in relation to the COVID-19 pandemic, and the actions of the General Medical Council (“GMC”) in response.

https://ukhumanrightsblog.c...

👍 5 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Sidhatt
14 hours ago
Canada has been injecteding mRNA vaccines into salmon for 10 years. Vaccine is made by the company PHARMAQ AS, owned by Pfizer.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Jan Woods
17 hours ago
Just FYI, that is not Naomi Wolf interviewing Renz.

👍 1 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Voyt Regnal
an hour ago edited
First Mercola tells us : "Pork producers have been using customizable mRNA-based “vaccines” on their herds since 2018, without telling the public."
Then we read: "the lobbyists for the cattleman and pork associations in several states have CONFIRMED they WILL be using mRNA vaccines in pigs and cows THIS MONTH." What is true?
Also:
Quote: "....and one potential reason for that is because they’d have to admit that all sorts of foods may have been vaccinated with mRNA vaccines, have genetic modifications, or be modified to serve as vaccinations for humans."

Can somebody explain to me, by WHAT MECHANISM this CAN happen?...because I think this sentence and many others from Mercola's writing do not make sense.
If we assume, that potentially (because it is only speculation) mRNA shot may change DNA of recipent (be it human or animal), HOW this 'changed' consumed pig's DNA can change my DNA?

And as for McCullough and China paper about mRNA locked in exozome and consumed by mice giving later antibodies to protein created by it...I DO NOT TRUST ANYTHING FROM CHINA. This can be simply baloney. That paper does not explain mechanism of this happening, neither McCullough.

I think Renz and Mercola should stop being excessively paranoid and relax. We had enough with jabing us. I am not concerned about eating. BOTTOM LINE:
Most of the food we eat contains genes, although in cooked or processed foods, most of the DNA has been destroyed or degraded and the genes are fragmented. Our digestive system breaks them down without any effect on our genetic make-up.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

TheUsualSmearGame
3 hours ago
Why is it even legal to taint our food supply this way?
They are trying to poison us and they don't even try to hide it anymore.
Don't let them steal your crown, folks.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

e boc
4 hours ago
they need to be sued , into oblivion...

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Carol C
5 hours ago
I'm sure our devilish USA corporation will pay farmers to inject MRNA into every living thing we eat.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Sidhatt
14 hours ago
Zoetis is owned by Pfizer, see a pattern? In Norway the gov wanted Zoetis to make the covid vaccines for humans in the factories used to produce animal vaccinations.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Sidhatt
14 hours ago edited
Zoetis is owned by Pfizer, see a pattern? In Norway the gov wanted Zoetis to also make covid vaccines for humans in the factories used to produce animal vaccinations.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Sidhatt
14 hours ago edited
Canada has been using mRNA vaccines on salmon for 10 years. The mRNA vaccines injected into canadian salmon is made by the company PHARMAQ AS, owned by Pfizer.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

notaluvvie
a day ago
Trust lobbyists and health "experts"? Yeah, right.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

BarbaraCharis
a day ago
Those who eat organically grown produce and organically-raised meat will avoid the mRNA. . When one's health is involved, it is important to eat higher quality food....not pay more in suffering by eating conventionally-grown produce or conventionally-raised meat. . I personally, have been off beef over fifty years...and I am very healthy without it.

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Lloyd Hart
a day ago
Electronic Jim Crow Ballot Boxes!
Electronic Jim Crow Currency!
Electronic Jim Crow Police State!
The American oligarchy are building an Electronic Jim Crow fascist regime and an Electronic Jim Crow dystopia state! https://lloydhart.substack....

👍 0 👎 0 Reply • Share ›

Jillian
9 hours ago
So glad i dont eat animals. Another good reason to be vegan / plant based.

👍 0 👎 1 Reply • Share ›

Subscribe Privacy Do Not Sell My Data

Children's Health Defense® is a 501(c)(3) non-profit organization. Our mission is to end childhood health epidemics by working aggressively to eliminate harmful exposures, hold those responsible accountable and establish safeguards to prevent future harm. We fight corruption, mass surveillance and censorship that put profits before people as well as advocate for worldwide rights to health freedom and bodily autonomy.

EN

SUBSCRIBE - IT'S FREE! BECOME A MEMBER MEMBER LOGIN

Donations are tax deductible to the full extent of the law. EIN #26-0388604

© 2016 - 2023 Children's Health Defense® - All Rights Reserved

We use cookies to ensure that we give you the best experience on our website. You consent to our cookies if you continue to use our website. OK Privacy Policy